

RESEARCH

Open Access

Effect of the rest interval duration between contractions on muscle fatigue

Daniel V Nogueira^{1,5}, Sidney B Silva², Luiz Carlos de Abreu², Vitor E Valenti^{2,4}, Mahmi Fujimori², Carlos Bandeira de Mello Monteiro^{2,3}, Charli Tortoza¹, Wellington Ribeiro¹, Rodrigo A Lazo-Osório¹ and Carlos J Tierra-Criollo^{5*}

* Correspondence: tccarlosj@gmail.com

⁵Programa de Engenharia Biomédica - COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Av. Pedro Calmon, 550 - Prédio da Reitoria, 2º andar, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-901, Brazil
Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Abstract

Background: We aimed to investigate the effect of rest interval, between successive contractions, on muscular fatigue.

Methods: Eighteen subjects performed elbow flexion and extension (30 repetitions) on an isokinetic dynamometer with 80° of range of motion. The flexion velocity was 120°/s, while for elbow extension we used 5 different velocities (30, 75, 120, 240, 360°/s), producing 5 different rest intervals (2.89, 1.28, 0.85, 0.57 and 0.54 s).

Results: We observed that when the rest interval was 2.89 s there was a reduction in fatigue. On the other hand, when the rest interval was 0.54 s the fatigue was increased.

Conclusions: When the resting time was lower (0.54 s) the decline of work in the flexor muscle group was higher compared with different rest interval duration.

Keywords: Fatigue, Elbow, Muscles, Torque, Rest interval

Background

Muscle fatigue has been received attention in the literature. There is a consensus that defines it as the inability of muscles to produce force (torque) with the same efficiency over a period of time or after repeated contractions [1]. Although this matter has been investigated several times in the scientific community, there is the need to understand some processes that are relevant to this mechanism.

Recent studies [1-3] measured muscle fatigue based on new technologies and also based on the development of the isokinetic dynamometer. The dynamometer is able to measure the muscle torque in particular joints and it also limits the speed and range of motion [4]. It was showed that the torque produced in the joint decreases after multiple repetitions of the same movement. This fact characterizes the muscle fatigue [4].

The protocols performed to measure muscle fatigue through the isokinetic dynamometry consider the speed, amplitude, time of execution of the movement and the rest interval between sets [1,2,5,6]. These protocols have used a single rest interval duration for the movements of flexion and extension. However, it is not clear in the literature the involvement of this equipment in the elbow flexor muscle group. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the effect of different rest intervals duration on fatigue in the elbow flexor muscle group.

Methods

Subjects

We analyzed 18 male non-athlete subjects, between 18 and 35 years old (160 cm-180 cm). The subjects presented no musculoskeletal dysfunction and were able to perform all procedures. Each subject signed a consent letter and the study was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of Universidade do Vale do Paraiba (protocols number: 003/2008).

Instruments

To collect data related to the work produced by the elbow flexor and extensor muscles, we used a Computed Isokinetic, Biodex Multi-Joint System 3 model (Biodex Medical System Inc) and its accessories for the elbow joint evaluation. This equipment is connected to a computer with specific software, which allows view, acquisition and registration of several variables.

Procedures

Each volunteer performed six tests in the isokinetic dynamometer in different days. The rate of extension ranged randomly at 30°/s, 75°/s, 120°/s, 240°/s and 360°/s, totaling five tests (only in the dominant limb). The first test performed on each subject was used as an adaptation apparatus and was discarded. The range of motion in all protocols used was 80° for elbow flexion and extension. The rest interval between the tests was between 72 hours and 96 hours. All attempts were preceded by warming up the elbow flexor and extensor muscle groups with 2.0 kg dumbbell of 20 repetitions for each movement. After warm up, all subjects performed stretching exercises for 30 seconds of specific muscle groups assessed. They were seated, fixed to the dynamometer through tracks in the region of the trunk and had the dominant upper limb coupled to an accessory that allowed the evaluation of elbow flexion and extension.

Before sampling, the volunteers performed three to five submaximal repetitions to recognize the type of exercise. During the tests the subjects received verbal encouragement to perform maximum effort with visual feedback. The verbal encouragement was based on the following sentences for all subjects: "Go ahead!" and "Stronger!"

The following variables exported for analysis using the Matlab software from MathWorks: peak torque, time and range of motion produced by the flexor and extensor muscles of the elbow.

Data analysis

Muscle fatigue was estimated by the value of the slope, obtained by linear regression applied to the values of work over the 30 contractions. The linear regression was considered as an approach to modeling the relationship between a scalar dependent variable y and another explanatory variable denoted X .

The work performance was considered as an action on a body so that there is a displacement of the point of application, however small, in the direction of the force. Thus a force does work when there is movement under the action of the force.

Torque was considered as the tendency of a force to rotate an object about an axis, fulcrum, or pivot.

The rest interval duration between contractions were obtained by marking the beginning and end of each movement, and the number of samples in this range was multiplied by 0.010 s considering the frequency of data acquisition (100 Hz). For comparison, it was calculated theoretical rest interval duration given by:

$$\Delta t = \Delta\theta / \omega,$$

$\Delta\theta=80^\circ$ and ω is the selected angular speed ($^\circ/s$). The time difference between the theoretical and obtained time series was calculated by means of the relative error.

Statistical analysis

We considered the angular coefficient as the value of the tangent of the angle alpha that the line makes with the x-axis. Standard statistical methods were used for the calculation of means and standard deviations. Normal Gaussian distribution of the data was verified by the Shapiro-Wilk goodness-of-fit test (z value >1.0). Considering that all distributions were non-parametric, we applied the nonparametric Wilcoxon test for comparison of the angular coefficients obtained in each protocol. A significance level of $p<0.05$ was adopted.

Results


Table 1 shows the different speeds for the rest interval duration between contractions of the flexor muscle group-time series obtained from the torque. As expected, the standing time between consecutive elbow flexion decreased while the speed increased. We noted that the relative error between the theoretical value of the interval and the time series obtained in the torque increased with speed. It is further noted in Table 1 that the relative error between the theoretical value of the interval and the time series obtained for the torque is increased with the extension speed. The rest interval duration between successive extensions averaged 0.85 ± 0.04 s.

Figure 1 illustrates the relationship between the work performed in each contraction in one volunteer. We noted that for both variables the slow speed of extension ($30^\circ/s$) produced an average of 2.86 s rest between each flexion. Regarding the faster one ($360^\circ/s$) at time average of 0.54 s we observed a significant decrease of the work in the flexor muscle group. These findings were observed in all subjects, indicating that all protocols with varying speeds of elbow extension were enough to induce fatigue.

Figure 2 displays the time series obtained from different attempts, by varying the extension speed. We observed that the lower extension speed (between flexion) was smaller than the reduction observed during flexion.

Table 1 Time interval (rest) between each contraction of the flexor muscle group

ω ($^\circ/s$)	Temporal series of the torque (s)	Standard deviation	Theoretical (s)	Relative error (%)
30/120	2.89	0.0549	2.67	6.7
75/120	1.28	0.0467	1.07	15.7
120/120	0.85	0.0426	0.67	21.2
240/120	0.57	0.0488	0.33	42.1
360/120	0.54	0.0759	0.22	59.2




The coefficients obtained for the slower rate of extension (longer rest intervals) were significantly lower than other speeds ($p < 0.016$) (Table 2). Moreover, when the rate of extension was $360^\circ/\text{s}$ (lower resting time), the angular coefficients presented significant difference ($p < 0.05$) compared to other speeds. Among the intermediate speed ($75^\circ/\text{s}$, $120^\circ/\text{s}$ and $240^\circ/\text{s}$), we did not observe statistically significant differences ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 3).

According to Figure 4, regarding the extensor muscle group, the behavior of the angular coefficients in different extension speeds followed a different pattern. When extension speed was $30^\circ/\text{s}$ the angular coefficients averaged 2.57° , indicating large inclination and intense fatigue. With respect to the $360^\circ/\text{s}$, the mean coefficient was 0.07° , indicating small fatigue.

Discussion

Our study was undertaken to evaluate the effects of different rest intervals duration on fatigue of the elbow flexor muscle group. Based on our results, when the rest interval between each contraction was higher (mean 2.89 s), the performance was lower compared with other intervals time (1.28 s, 0.85 s, 0.57 s to 0.54 s). According to Fitts (1996) [7], during the standing time after muscle fatigue, there is a fast recovery of the fibers which becomes slower and may take an hour or longer to return to the pre-fatigue state. It was showed that muscle fatigue presents an important factor in sports performance. In addition, it may be responsible for various musculoskeletal disorders [3], since it influences proprioception and neuromuscular control [8]. Therefore, understanding the process of muscle fatigue is very important for professionals in the rehabilitation and physical training area.


Basically, the mechanism involved in muscle fatigue begins with a precipitous drop in the capacity to develop muscle tension, generating the drop development [7]. The entire mechanism of muscle fatigue is reversible with time. For this reason many authors



use protocols with rest intervals between sets of exercises. Parcell et al. (2002) [5] found that many authors fail to mention the rest interval duration used between sets proposed mentioning only that "adequate rest was kept" and others simply use a "relatively high" to ensure recovery. Parcell et al. (2002) [5] reported that the minimum time required for recovery of muscle contractions after four concentric isokinetic at speeds of 60, 120, 180, 240 and 360°/s is 60 s. However, all of these protocols did not take into account the existing recovery time when the antagonist muscles contract, i.e. the rest


Table 2 P values regarding the comparison of the angular coefficient (°)

ω (°/s)	30/120	75/120	120/120	240/120
75/120	$p=0.008$	-	-	-
120/120	$p=0.008$	$p=0.742$	-	-
240/120	$p=0.016$	$p=0.195$	$p=0.383$	-
360/120	$p=0.008$	$p=0.016$	$p=0.039$	$p=0.05$



interval duration between contractions. The results presented in our study showed that it is possible to control the rest interval duration between successive movements through the isokinetic dynamometer. When using this equipment, it is possible to limit the amplitude and speed of movement making it relatively constant [4]. Thus, it is also possible to standardize the time of rest between each contraction.

Regarding the results obtained for the rest interval duration between successive movements of elbow flexion, it was noted that it approached the theoretical values at speeds of 30, 75 and 120°/s, a tendency, as expected, to be slightly higher, while in the speeds of 240 and 360°/s the rest interval were more distant than the theoretical values. It was previously showed that the set speed in isokinetic dynamometers does not remain constant throughout the range of motion, tending to present a larger variation at higher speeds [9]. Regardless of the rest time range in each experimental condition it may be noticed that all protocols were sufficient to generate fatigue of elbow flexor muscle group. These results agree with the findings of other authors [2,3], which demonstrated that even using a protocol with fewer repetitions there is also a decrease in the muscle work.



It is interestingly to note that when the rest time between successive flexion changed we also observed changes in the total effort time, since the number of repetitions was the same for all protocols. Thus, due to the reduction in extension speed the rest interval between the flexion movements is higher and, as a consequence, the total duration of the task also increases. Similarly, when the rest time is lower the entire task becomes reduced.

As noted, the flexor and extensor torques followed a different pattern during the 30 repetitions and this result may be due to some variables. For example, when the extension speed was slower (30°/s) the torque extensor declined considerably whereas the flexor muscles in the same trial showed slight decrease in torque. As soon as the extension speed increased the reduction of the extensor muscle torque was attenuated while the flexor muscle group was increased. We may suggest that the behavior of the extensor muscle torque was slightly influenced by the rest interval between contractions because this was almost constant as a function of the flexion speed, which was 120°/s. Nonetheless, it was influenced with higher intensity by the change of speed in some protocols. The behavior of flexor muscle torque was slightly influenced by the flexor speed and was influenced with higher intensity by the rest time between movements.

The dynamometers provide fatigue indices by means of calculations based on of the work generated at different stages of the task [10]. However, there are some limitations in using this type of analysis, for example, it does not consider the effort across the task at hand, or even the lack of view of how the software is processing the signal. Furthermore, it was noted that this type of analysis presents a low correlation coefficient interclass [6]. Some authors have previously estimated the muscle fatigue by varying the speed of movement in relation to time during the activity carried out in isotonic contractions [11]. Others found it necessary to calculate it by the fall of the torque generated or even the total work done (full torque in relation to the displacement) [1,12].

It has been shown that muscle fatigue (decline in work) presents an important relationship with the rest time between exercises performance [2]. As noted by some authors, the rest interval duration between the series in a protocol, when reduced, may increase fatigue [2], [5], [10]. Nevertheless, these protocols have not taken into account the resting period of the agonist muscles when the antagonist muscles were also involved during the motion. In this study, we observed that when the rest interval between each contraction of the flexor muscle group (time of antagonist muscles contraction) was higher (mean 2.89 s), the reduction of work was lower when compared with other rest intervals (1.28 s, 0.85 s, 0.57 s to 0.54). According to Fitts [7], during the time after muscle fatigue, there is a fast recovery of the fibers, which becomes slower and may take an hour or longer to return to the state of pre-fatigue. It is suggested that the time of 2.89 s in our experimental condition was sufficient for rapid muscle recovery, however, it was interrupted by reapplication of the muscles.

Conclusions

When the rest time was lower (mean 0.54 s) the decline of work in the elbow flexor muscle group was higher compared with different rest times. It is suggested that the fast recovery of the fibers was prematurely interrupted. Clarifying the relationship of

the rest interval duration after strenuous exercise on muscle performance may certainly improve methods for training and physical rehabilitation.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

DVN, SBS, LCA, MF, CBMM, VEV, CT, WR, RALO and CJTC participated in the acquisition of data and revision of the manuscript. VEV, CBMM, MF and LCA determined the design and performed the statistical analysis. All authors conceived the study, interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and gave final approval for the version submitted for publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Universidade do Vale do Paraíba and Fundação CAPES for financial support. We really appreciate the kind help of Dr. Hani Atrash with English Grammar and Spelling review.

Author details

¹Universidade do Vale do Paraíba (UNIVAP)/Grupo de Instrumentação e Processamento de Sinais (GIPSI), IP&D, Rua Tertuliano Delphim Jr, 181, São José dos Campos, São Paulo 12246-080, Brazil. ²Laboratório de Delineamento de Estudos e Escrita Científica, Departamento de Morfologia e Fisiologia, Faculdade de Medicina do ABC, Av. Príncipe de Gales, 821, Santo André, São Paulo 09060-650, Brazil. ³Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades da Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Arlindo Béttio, 1000 Ermelino Matarazzo, São Paulo, SP CEP: 03828-000, Brazil. ⁴Programa de Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Roberto Simonsen, 305, Presidente Prudente São Paulo 19060-900, Brazil. ⁵Programa de Engenharia Biomédica - COPPE, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Av. Pedro Calmon, 550 - Prédio da Reitoria, 2º andar, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-901, Brazil.

Received: 20 August 2012 Accepted: 19 November 2012

Published: 26 November 2012

References

1. Gandevia SC: **Some central and peripheral factors affecting human motoneuronal output in neuromuscular fatigue.** *Sports Med* 1992, **13**:93–98.
2. Pincivero DM, Gear WS, Moyna NM, Robertson RJ: **The effects of rest interval on quadriceps torque and perceived exertion in healthy males.** *J Sports Med Phys Fit* 1999, **39**:294–99.
3. Ahlgren C, Malmgren Olsson EB, Brulin C: **Gender analysis of musculoskeletal disorders and emotional exhaustion: interactive effects from physical and psychosocial work exposures and engagement in domestic work.** *Ergonomics* 2012, **55**:212–228.
4. Perrin DH: *Isokinetic exercise and assessment.* Champaign (Ill.): Human Kinetics Publ; 1993:212.
5. Parcell AC, Sawyer RD, Tricoli VA, Chinevere TD: **Minimum rest interval for strength recovery during a common isokinetic testing protocol.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002, **34**:1018–1022.
6. Pincivero DM, Lefhart SM, Karunakara RG: **Effects of rest interval on isokinetic strength and functional performance after short-term high intensity training.** *Br J Sports Med* 1997, **31**:229–234.
7. Fitts RH: **Muscle fatigue: the cellular aspects.** *Am J Sports Med* 1996, **24**:S9–S13.
8. Lacaze E: **Musculoskeletal problems in the elderly.** *Soins Gerontol* 2012, **93**:43–44.
9. Webber SC, Porter MM: **Reliability of ankle isometric, isotonic, and isokinetic strength and power testing in older women.** *Phys Ther* 2010, **90**:1165–1175.
10. Burnley M, Vanhatalo A, Jones AM: **Distinct profiles of neuromuscular fatigue during muscle contractions below and above the critical torque in humans.** *J Appl Physiol* 2012, **113**:215–223.
11. Jarić S, Radovanović S, Milanović S, Ljubisavljević M, Anastasijević R: **A comparison of the effects of agonist and antagonist muscle fatigue on performance of rapid movements.** *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol* 1997, **76**:41–47.
12. Spendiff O, Longford NT, Winter EM: **Effects of fatigue on the torque-velocity relation in muscle.** *Br J Sports Med* 2002, **36**:431–435.

doi:10.1186/1475-925X-11-89

Cite this article as: Nogueira et al.: Effect of the rest interval duration between contractions on muscle fatigue. *BioMedical Engineering OnLine* 2012 **11**:89.